



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,646	03/31/2000	Hiroshi Tomiyasu	HAG 128	1768

7590 07/13/2004

Cook Alex McFarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler LTD
200 West Adams Street
Suite 2850
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

RICKMAN, HOLLY C.

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1773

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/540,646

Applicant(s)

TOMIYASU ET AL.

Examiner

Holly Rickman

Art Unit

1773

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-79 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-14,28,33 and 74-77 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3,4,15-19,26,27,29-32,34-73,78 and 79 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 20-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected apparatus, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/19/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
3. Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 lacks antecedent basis for the phrase "said magnetic layer." It is not clear which magnetic layer this refers to.

Claim 18 is rendered indefinite by the use of the term "type."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall

Art Unit: 1773

have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanbe et al. (US 6403240).

Kanbe et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a substrate, a CrTiC underlayer and a magnetic layer. The reference discloses an embodiment wherein the CrTiC layer contains 14.3 at% Ti (see col. 18, Table 4).

6. Claims 11-14 and 74-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (US 6562488).

Chen et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a multi-layered non-magnetic underlayer with a magnetic recording layer thereon. The reference discloses an embodiment of the invention having the following structure:
substrate/CrV/NiAl/CrMo/CoCrTa/CoCrPtTaNbB (see abstract; col. 3, lines 1-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1, 7-10 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ishikawa et al. (US 6057021).

Art Unit: 1773

Ishikawa et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having multiple magnetic layers separated by a non-magnetic intermediate layer. The intermediate layer is formed from an alloy of an element chosen from a group including Cr with an element chosen from a group including C (col. 8, lines 18-31). The group of elements including Cr includes a total of 8 elements and the second group includes a total of 9 elements or compounds. It is the Examiner's contention that given the small size of the two groups involved, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have immediately envisaged an embodiment having an intermediate layer with Cr and C.

In any case, it would have been obvious to choose Cr and C from the disclosed groups because the reference teaches the functional equivalence of each of the materials in each group. Thus, it would have been obvious to choose any combination of those equivalent elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claims 2, 28, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanbe et al. (US 6403240).

Kanbe et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims as set forth above, except for the claimed amount of carbon in the CrC-containing layer. Kanbe et al. teach that adding an element such as C to a CrTi underlayer results in an underlayer having fine and uniform grain size (col. 5, lines 26-35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the optimal amount of C to add to the CrTi alloy used by Kanbe et al. in order to achieve optimal grain size and uniformity. Such an optimization would

Art Unit: 1773

have been obvious because it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 3-4, 15-19, 26-27, 29-32, 34-59, 61-71, 73 and 78-79 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed recording medium including a CrC layer containing Mn.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Holly Rickman". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal flourish at the end.

Holly Rickman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773

hr
July 9, 2004